

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/911,045	GRAY, ROBIN S.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	N. Bhat	1761	

**All Participants:**

 (1) N. Bhat.

 (2) R. Gray.
**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 February 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

 102 Rejection over the Durst reference regarding claim 14. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection regarding claim 20

**Claims discussed:**

14, 15 and 20

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Durst

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested combining claim 15 with claim 14 and deleting from the Markush group "single condiment beverage condiment slice" because the Durst reference would still read on this limitation because Dust teaches providing a food slice including juice, non-fat milk or water which all read on a beverage condiment. In claim 20 "said beverage" lack positive antecedence, the examiner discussed adding beverage to the beverage slice in Claim 14, applicant communicated to the examiner that the beverage should be added to claim 20 so claim 20 recites the beverage condiment slice of claim 14, further comprising a beverage, wherein said beverage is coffee, tea, hot chocolate or cold chocolate.